MARY CUMMINS

Defendant

645 W. 9th St. #110-140

Los Angeles, CA 90015

In Pro Per

Telephone: (310) 877-4770 Email: mmmaryinla@aol.com Superior Court of Galliernia County of Los Angelos

APR 13 2016

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk

By Crustina Myalus Deputy

Cristina Grijatva

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

BAT WORLD SANCTUARY,

AMANDA LOLLAR

Plaintiff

ν.

MARY CUMMINS

Defendant

Case No. BS140207

AMENDED MOTION TO QUASH, MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE

ORDER CCP 1987.1

Date: April 15, 2016

Time: 8:30 a.m. Room: Dept 24

Judge: Honorable Robert Hess

RES ID: 160216104823

RELIEF REQUESTED

Defendant Mary Cummins, (hereinafter "Defendant") respectfully moves the Court for an order quashing subpoenas made by Plaintiffs requesting all financial records of Defendant "Mary Cummins" and parties not part of the underlying complaint from First Bank. These records requested are not reasonably calculated to obtain information pertinent to the matter at hand because Defendant has no assets, bank accounts, job or money. Defendant believes this subpoena would be used for ulterior motives such as harassment of Defendant, Defendant's family, friends and ex clients. This subpoena is unreasonable and oppressive, including unreasonable violations of the right of privacy of Defendant, her family, friends and other unrelated third parties.

Plaintiffs have unclean hands in this case as they committed forgery, fraud and perjury in this case. Defendant just received proof that Plaintiff Lollar and her Texas attorney forged emails from the head of USDA. They also forged almost all exhibits and a court order. Motion is pending in this case. Defendant will show the court the following based on documents already filed with this court, attached declaration and exhibits.

INTRODUCTION

Defendant reported Plaintiffs to authorities for animal cruelty, animal neglect, violations of the Animal Welfare Act, Texas Parks & Wildlife Department, Texas Health Department, Texas Veterinary Board and Building and Safety (Defendant's Declaration). Violations were found. The USDA veterinarian stated Plaintiff Lollar who has not gone past the eighth grade and tries to perform surgery on conscious bats without pain relief caused bats "pain," "suffering," and "death." The USDA stated she violated the Animal Welfare Act. Plaintiffs lost their USDA permit.

Defendant was maliciously and frivolously sued for defamation and breach of contract in retaliation for reporting Plaintiffs to authority. Plaintiffs did not show the elements of defamation, breach of contract, admitted they had no damages and no proof of any causation in trial. Defendant argued this but the retired visiting Judge nevertheless ruled in their favor in Texas. This case is in appeal awaiting the Court's opinion any day.

In retaliation for reporting Plaintiff to authorities, Plaintiff has been stalking, harassing, defaming, threatening Defendant, her family, friends, clients for over the past three years. Plaintiff's behavior has caused Defendant to be fired from two jobs. Defendant cannot get work because of Plaintiff's defamation online which states Defendant is a "convicted criminal," "embezzled money from her grandmother," "sued the church" and many other totally untrue things. Plaintiff also harassed the lawyers who wrote amicus briefs for Defendant's appeal.

In the previous legal case Plaintiff used items received in discovery to further harass Defendant.

- Plaintiff made 100 movies of Defendant's videotaped deposition and posted them on YouTube. The movies were so highly edited that they are defamatory.
- Plaintiff paid a man to pretend to have a dying baby squirrel. The man lured Defendant under false pretenses to drive over an hour to save the baby. That man trespassed onto private property, served Plaintiff with papers and videotaped this including her license plate. Plaintiff could have easily been served in the park where Defendant does pet adoptions every Saturday. Plaintiff posted this video on YouTube (see above).
- Plaintiff accidentally received the social security number and bank name of Defendant in discovery. Plaintiff used that and other information from discovery to call Defendant's banks and illegally pretend to be Defendant to get financial information of Defendant and unrelated non-profit Animal Advocates. Defendant filed a police report March 2013 (previously filed in this case) and motion for contempt as the records were covered by a protective order made by Judge Margaret Nagle.
- Plaintiff illegally obtained Defendant's private home address and posted it on the Internet. Plaintiff has sent people to Defendant's home to go through the trash, bang on the doors/windows/gates, trespass and rummage through contents of garage.
- Plaintiff sent spam to Defendant's email address and home address addressed to "Mary Cumbucket," Mary Cumstain," "Mary Scummins," "Scummins Dummins" for plus sized clothing, cures for baldness and pornography. These are but a few examples of the harassment by Plaintiff.

Defendant's financial records include the names of Defendant's friends, family, clients including their bank account numbers, home addresses. Defendant is positive

http://www.youtube.com/bwsvmc

Plaintiff would contact all of these people and defame Defendant or threaten to harm or kill these people. Plaintiff has already threatened to kill Defendant in writing. Plaintiff has already threatened friends and family of Defendant. Plaintiff has already defamed Defendant to many people.

Defendant has no job, home, assets or car. The financial documents would not lead to anything which would be discoverable to find assets or money.

This judgement is in the name of "Mary Cummins." Only "bank records" with the name "Mary Cummins" with Defendant's SSN belonged to Defendant. Plaintiffs are not entitled to bank records of any other third party not part of the original suit.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

February 11, 2016 Defendant received the attached copy of subpoena (Exhibit 1, Social Security number redacted by Defendant)

February 11, 2016 Defendant contacted Plaintiff's attorney David Watts notifying him that Defendant was filing motion to quash. Defendant also notified the Gallagher Group the deposition officer.

February 11, 2016 Defendant files this motion to quash or modify subpoena, protective order pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1987.1 and gives notice to the witness FirstBank and deposition officer Gallagher Group at least five days before the date set for production which is February 25, 2016.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE AND GROUNDS TO QUASH OR MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE ORDER

The subpoena should be quashed or modified for the following reasons:

1* California Code of Civil Procedure Section 1987.1 (a) "If a subpoena requires the attendance of a witness or the production of books, documents, electronically stored information, or other things before a court, or at the trial of an issue therein, or at the taking of a deposition, the court, upon motion reasonably made by any person described in subdivision (b), or upon the court's own motion after giving counsel

notice and an opportunity to be heard, may make an order quashing the subpoena entirely, modifying it, or directing compliance with it upon those terms or conditions as the court shall declare, including protective orders. In addition, the court may make any other order as may be appropriate to protect the person from unreasonable or oppressive demands, including unreasonable violations of the right of privacy of the person."

This subpoena is unreasonable, oppressive and includes unreasonable violation of the right of privacy of Defendant, Defendant's family, friends, ex clients and unrelated third parties.

"Financial files are within a constitutionally protected zone of privacy, set forth under Article I, Section 1 of California's Constitution and this protection applies both such records. The standard applicable to general discovery, i.e. that items need only be reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, is inapplicable to the discovery of items protected by a right to privacy in which the threshold requirement is that such items must be directly relevant. Britt v. Superior Court (1978) 20 Cal.3d 844; Tylo v. Superior Court (1997) 55 Cal.App.4th 1379.

2* Plaintiffs already have all bank statements of "Mary Cummins" with SSN (redacted) from 2010 to 2016. In the Texas case Defendant signed a bank authorization for all "bank records" in the name of "Mary Cummins" "Mary Cobb" with SSN redacted (Exhibit 2). Plaintiff received statements of four bank accounts all of which have been closed for at least two years. The banks closed all of the accounts due to the bank levy and negative balances. Defendant does not have a bank account and receives Medi-cal medical insurance from the government. If Defendant were to ever have a bank account in the future that account would be exempt from levy up to a certain limited amount as Defendant receives government aid. Defendant also claimed every exemption in response to the bank levy. Plaintiff did not contest any other exemption

 except that one bank account. This court only allowed Plaintiff to have \$4,300 from the only remaining bank account in 2013.

3* Plaintiff Bat World Sanctuary is no longer a party in this case. All causes and claims of Bat World Sanctuary were dismissed. The subpoena is in the name of "Bat World Sanctuary and Amanda Lollar." The subpoena is therefore defective on its face. Plaintiffs did not change the judgment to reflect current legal parties as requested by Judge Hess.

4* The subpoena is overly broad requesting "Any and all statements for accounts held on behalf of any and every "Mary Cummins," "Mary Cobb" or SSN ***-******." (SSN redacted). The subpoena should only be for "bank statements" in the name of "Mary Cummins," "Mary Cobb" combined with SSN (redacted) for a limited time related to the underlying lawsuit which was filed in September 2010. If not Plaintiff would be allowed to have statements of any type for every "Mary Cummins" and "Mary Cobb" in the entire US indefinitely in the past and future. Plaintiffs have a very long history of abusing discovery for harassment purposes only. They have violated every single authorization for records in the underlying case.

Plaintiff requested all accounts "associated with SSN" (redacted). Defendant was the founder of non-profit Animal Advocates in 2002 14 years ago. Defendant opened up a bank account in the name of only "Animal Advocates" with only EIN 48-1287089 in 2002. Defendant was a signatory on that account years ago. Defendant made sure Defendant's name was no longer on that account in any way. The bank confirmed this. Defendant's SSN was never on that account.

Defendant had a personal account at that same bank. When Plaintiff's Texas attorney Randy Turner sent the bank the authorization Turner demanded the records of Animal Advocates on the phone threatening to throw the clerk in jail and get him fired. The clerk incorrectly gave those records to Plaintiffs. The bank later sent an email to

Turner stating Defendant did not authorize the release of those records and they should be returned. Turner refused to return those documents.

Defendant filed motion for contempt of the Texas bank authorization. Judge John Chupp of the 141st District Court stated April 1, 2016 that Plaintiff was not entitled to receive and should not have received any bank or financial records of Animal Advocates because Animal Advocates has never been a party in this case. Judge Chupp stated the bank was in the wrong for giving Animal Advocates records to Plaintiff's Texas attorney Randy Turner. Judge Chupp stated Plaintiffs could not use the records as they shouldn't have received them. Transcript of that hearing was ordered which will be finished April 18, 2016 per court reporter.

Plaintiff's Texas attorney Randy Turner forged the Texas "bank records" authorization which Defendant signed. The original had "financial institutions" crossed out. The original also stated it was a two page order/authorization and both pages much be included. Turner forged that authorization and changed the Judge's ordered dates of August 27, 2010 to the date signed to January 1, 2010 to end of January 2016. Turner intentionally wrote that order as a two page document with no page numbers so only one page could be given without the receiver realizing it was a two page document missing the second page. Defendant even clearly stated this on the authorization Defendant signed. On top of this Defendant intentionally included a signature which is clearly not Defendant's so the bank would check the real signature and contact Defendant for clarification. Defendant had already sent the real authorization to the bank a month before the bank received the forged authorization from Plaintiff's Texas attorney Turner.

Plaintiff's Texas attorney then used the forged order to get the PayPal records of "Mary Cummins," "Mary Cobb" and "Animal Advocates." This happened after the motion for contempt hearing. Another motion is being filed for this violation of the

authorization. This just goes to show that Plaintiff and their lawyers are extremely abusive.

- 5* The requested financial records requested are not reasonably calculated to obtain information pertinent to the matter at hand. Defendant already has the OneWest and First Bank bank statements received through a bank authorization. There is no need for this subpoena. Defendant has no assets, car, job or money. There is nothing left to take from Defendant. Plaintiffs already know the last balance in the account as they took every cent in the account. The account is closed and has no balance.
- 6* The requested records would actually release records of people not a party to the case or judgment including Defendant's family, ex-clients and others. The subpoena also asks for record of unrelated third parties not a part of this suit. There are SSN, driver's license numbers, home addresses, phone numbers, bank account numbers of many other people in the records. The confidential information must be redacted if Plaintiff is allowed the records.
- 7* The requested records would only be used for ulterior purposes i.e. harassment, stalking purposes. They would serve no valid purpose. Plaintiffs have a six year long history of abusing discovery. Plaintiff contacted almost every single person mentioned in discovery and in general. Plaintiff then either defamed Defendant to that party or harassed and threatened that party.
- 8* Plaintiff has a long history of posting private, confidential data of Defendant online. Plaintiff even posted a copy of Defendant's ex-attorney's check online without redacting anything (Exhibit 3, redacted). Plaintiff even posted a medical authorization online which was under a protective order (Exhibit 4, redacted). Plaintiff recently even posted alleged data which was actually false from the bank records online. Plaintiff even included a copy of Defendant's passport and full SSN in public court documents. Plaintiff refuses to remove the filings. Defendant filed motion to strike but there was

no way Defendant could post the motion in the court hallway in Fort Worth, Texas which is required.

9* If Plaintiff is allowed to subpoena FirstBank or OneWest for bank records, the order must be very specific for only the records of Defendant. It must be on only one page. Defendant must be notified by the banks when they receive the subpoena, court order to make sure Plaintiff does not forge them again. Defendant must get a copy of all documents directly from the bank and not Plaintiffs. The documents must be under a protective order. They cannot be filed in a lawsuit, shared with anyone other than Plaintiff and her lawyers in the case, posted online or shared with the public.

PRAYER

Defendant respectfully requests that this Court quash this subpoena for bank records. In the alternative Defendant requests that the subpoena be limited to only Defendant's "bank records" with Defendant's SSN and name together. Plaintiff should not be allowed to ask for bank records of third parties such as Animal Advocates. In the alternative Defendant requests that this Court redacts the names of all people or companies other than Defendant in the records. In the alternative Defendant requests a protective order over said financial documents stating the contents of the records and the records themselves must never be shared with anyone other than Plaintiff and her lawyers in this case. They may never be given to anyone else. They may never be posted online. Defendant has written a proposed order which is attached.

Such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Cummins, Defendant

Mary Cummins

Dated: April 12, 2016

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

BAT WORLD SANCTUARY, AMANDA LOLLAR) Case No. BS140207
Plaintiff) AMENDED MOTION TO QUASH,) MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE
v.	ORDER CCP 1987.1
MARY CUMMINS Defendant	Date: April 15, 2016 Time: 8:30 a.m. Room: Dept 24 Judge: Honorable Robert Hess
	RES ID: 160216104823
)

PROPOSED ORDER

Before the court is Defendant's Motion to Quash, Modify Subpoena, Request for Protective order. The Court (grants/denies) Defendant's Motion to Quash subpoena. The Court (grants/denies) Defendant's Motion to modify subpoena. The Court (grants/denies) Defendant's request for protective order.

Signed	
Judge	

PROOF OF SERVICE (FRCivP 5 (b)) or (CCP 1013a, 2015.5) or (FRAP 25 (d))

I am Plaintiff in pro per whose address is 645 W. 9th St. #110-140, Los Angeles, California 90015-1640. I am over the age of eighteen years.

I further declare that on the date hereof I served a copy of:

MOTION TO QUASH, MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE ORDER

on the following interested parties by email and faxing this document to the following.

David Watts 1260 Lake Blvd #218 Davis, CA 95616

Gallagher Group 1337 Howe Ave #104 Sacramento, CA 95825

Witness
FirstBank
Research-Subpoena
Department
First Bank
Mailcode MI-199-042
P.O. Box 105
Hazelwood, MO 63042

I declare under penalty of perjury, under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this day, April 12, 2016, at Los Angeles, California.

Respectfully submitted,

Mary Cummins, Plaintiff

Dated: April 12, 2016

645 W. 9th St. #110-140

Los Angeles, CA 90015

2

3

6

7

5

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

2526

DECLARATION OF DEFENDANT MARY CUMMINS

I, MARY CUMMINS, declare as follows:


- 1. I am Mary Cummins Defendant in pro per. I make this declaration on my personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.
- 2. Attached to DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE ORDER as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the subpoena which I received in the mail.
- Everything in DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO QUASH SUBPOENA, MODIFY SUBPOENA, PROTECTIVE ORDER was written by me and is the truth to the best of my knowledge.
- 4. Plaintiff Amanda Lollar posted online that I am a "convicted criminal," "embezzled money from her grandmother," "sued the church" and many other totally untrue things.
- 5. Plaintiff Amanda Lollar phoned and harassed the lawyers who wrote amicus briefs for my appeal.
- 6. Plaintiff sent spam to my email address and home address addressed to "Mary Cumbucket," Mary Cumstain," "Mary Scummins," "Scummins Dummins" for plus sized clothing, cures for baldness, pornography besides other things.
- 7. Plaintiff has threatened to kill me on the phone and in writing.
- 8. I'm positive Plaintiff would use any data in any financial records to harass, stalk or harm me, my family, friends and clients.

I, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.


Executed on April 12, 2016 at Los Angeles, California.

By:

MARY CUMMINS



04/15/2019



TARRANT COUNTY
12/18/2015 10:01:21 AM
THOMAS A WILDER
DISTRICT CLERK

CAUSE NO. 352-248169-10

	CAUSE 110. 332-248109	-10	DISTRICT CLERK
BAT WORLD SANCTUARY and AMANDA LOLLAR Plaintiffs,	. 80 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60 60	IN THE DISTRIC	OURT
v. =	60 G G	352ND JUDICIAI DIS	TRIC
MARY CUMMITS,	8	TARRANE CONTACTA	
A ACENIDA DE DE DE LA ALTERA	S MOTION FOR THE	TARRANT COUNTY	KAS KODÍ A TVOV
AMENDAL ORDER GRANTING			
On the of December the	Court heard the fotion	for Bank Records Aut	horization filed
by Plaintiff, Amanda Lollar. Pla	intiff appeared by and	through her attories	by lephone.
Defen ant appeared by telephone.			
should Granted	n and the arguments of	the parties the Court is	nus une motion
	ERED margo sendant		
Number in the space indicated. BANK	RECORDS ALTIHORI	ZATION	
TO ATL BLINKS AND FINANCIA	AL INSTITUTIONS:	L	<u>L</u>
r nereoy authorize all bank		one to furnish to Rand	•
of deposits and withdrawals, and an Social Scurity Number	ny othe records pertain	i ng to any account <u>of r</u>	
gree bat a copy of this au	thorization most serve as	s an original.	\ .
IGNED his 27th day of	December 2	This is pale or Not valid without	ne of a two page document. ut page two.
Tary Curining	12.71-15 LED		

04/19/2019

352-248169-10


IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant, Mary Cummins, shall sign the Bank Records Authorization and cause it to be delivered to Randall E. Turner at 1300 Summit Ave., Suite 600, Fort Worth, Texas 76102 no later than seven (7) days after receiving the authorization from Randall E. Turner by fax or mail.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant is entitled to copies of all records obtained with this authorization.

SIGNED this 18 day of December 2015

Mary Cummins is to get a copy of all communications to/from banks.

Mary Cummins 645 W 9th St. #110-140 Los Angeles, CA 90015 (310) 877 4770 Mary@MaryCummins.com



Many Cummins, CobertStatable/Expeasess Mentiable Besesses

Posted on December 5, 2014 by exposemarycummins


Mary Cummins, Animal Advocates, Real Estate Appraiser, Communicable diseases, Mental Illness (http://www.randyturner.com/index.php/randys-cyber-stalker)

syphilis, gonorrhea, drugs, HIV, AIDS, mental illness (except for psychotherapy notes), chemical or alcohol dependency, laboratory test results, "I understand that this information authorized for release may include information concerning communicable diseases such as hepatitis, medical history, treatment, or any other such related information." The above excerpt has been captured from a medical release form Mary Cummins was to sign for the alleged injuries she claims to have sustained when she was at the famous Bat World Sanctuary in June of 2010. It is a standard release form everyone signs when they are suing someone for a 'personal injury'

Remember Mary Cummins alleged slip and fall and her ridiculous, "Ow, I bumped my head and there's guano in my mouth" claim? But there is a turn of events. Mary Cummins REFUSES to sign the form as printed Here is what Mary Cummins has done to the form. (http://marycumminsmarycummins.files.wordpress.com/2014/12/subpoenamedical-release altered-exh-a-11_17_14.pdf)

Every reference to communicable diseases, venereal disease, gynecological records was crossed out by Mary Cummins! Why?

syphilis, gonorrhea, drugs, HIV, AIDS, mental illness and drugs or alcohol dependency? Uh-huh, I know what you are thinking anyone who is legitimately injured REFUSE to sign a medical release for their records and ONLY scratch out the references to courts, "My vagina is uninjured." Her vagina may be uninjured (//mary-cummins.co/2014/11/19/mary-cummins-states-hernothing to hide; nothing at all, you sign it. It all becomes increasingly clear why Mary Cummins recently announced to the because I am thinking it as well. If you have never had syphilis, gonorrhea, drug dependencies or mental illness you have vagina-is-uninjured/)but hardly clean (https://www.blogger.com/null) according to her apparent latest attempt to conceal communicable diseases and mental illness.



mental health professional (who hopefully is prescribing medication) is she seeing? We know Mary Cummins has lived a loose (http://marycumminss.files.wordpress.com/2013/05/12-30-2010-mary-cummins-v-eric-schmidt-of-google.pdf)but what other Boy, this is a whole new can of worms. We already know Mary Cummins is under the care of a psychologist and free lifestyle ever since she confirmed in a courtroom hearing, "My trips were paid for by all different men."

associated with their family, animals or their real estate? She is a functioning moron. Are the courts putting guns to individual's exchange of bodily fluids (http://marycummins-crass-act.blogspot.com/), we do have the opportunity (yet again) to have a good heads to sign documents? Mary Cummins is too stupid to be using up oxygen that could be put to better use. In what universe produce all their medical records to prove their claim???? Anyone I know who has ever been truly injured jumps to sign the laugh. Did you read where she stated, "I was forced to sign this"? Who the hell would EVER hire this woman to do anything After we all regain our composure from seeing what Mary Cummins does not want revealed about her sexual organs and does someone bring a lawsuit against someone else for a supposed 'personal injury' and then not release so they

can prove their injuries. How can Mary Cummins always be so comfortable stating, "I was forced" or "I was ordered to...."? Did no one ever tell her those are the excuses of a spoiled child not an adult? It just proves even more how stupid she is and if she is that stupid who in their right mind would hire her to do an appraisal of their property? Mary Cummins clearly has too much time on her hands begging for donations through her not-so-non-profit Animal Advocates so she can pay her OWN wine, grocery and auto bills. She needs to put on her big girl pants and act accordingly.



(https://marycumminsdotco.files.wordpress.com/2014/12/fa3a8-

mary2bcummins2bfaytty2bfatty2bfatty2bfatso2.jpg)

non-existent entity, a legend in her own mind just like "Junior Mensa" which never existed at all - ever. Imagine your claim to earned her "Junior Mensa" status (http://aboutmarycummins.blogspot.com/2013/12/junior-densa.html)......she is a mentally Well, in closing, followers of the dark, dank world of Mary Cummins we can rest assured that Mary Cummins has certainly fame being something that never was. LOL

ALSO SEE:

Mary Cummins Animal Cruelty (http://marycumminss.wordpress.com/2013/11/26/indybay-linked-to-cyber-stalker-mary-(http://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2013/05/20/18737124.php)Mary Cummins stalks Attorney Randy Turner cummins/Mary%20Cummins%20Animal%20Cruelty%20-%20Indybay) 'http://www.randyturner.com/index.php/randys-cyber-stalker (http://www.randyturner.com/index.php/randys-cyber-stalker)Mary Cummins Exposed (http://www.marycumminsexposed.com/)

(http://www.marycummins-exposed.com/)Mary Cummins makes Death Threats (http://marycummins-

(http://marycummins-branchcumminians.blogspot.com/)Mary Cummins stalks Bat World Sanctuary

(http://batworld.org/batworld-stalker-mary-cummins/)


branchcumminians.blogspot.com/)

Mary Cummins stalks, Amanda Lollar (http://amandalollar.com/)

(http://amandalollar.com/)Mary Cummins, Animal Advocates (http://marycumminsmarycummins.com/)

Mary Cummins, Cyber-Monster (https://mary-cummins.co/)

And lastly, a plug for my favorite charity-




(http://batworld.org/)

This entry was posted in Uncategorized and tagged accident, AIDS, communicable diseases, drugs, gonorrhea, HIV, insurance fraud, lawsuit, liar, mary cummins animal abuse, medical records, mental illness, syphilis. Bookmark the permalink

Blog at WordPress.com. | The Rusty Grunge Theme.

12:02:13 p.m. 02-19-201	16 3 13104949395		
To: LA Court Pa	ge 3 of 16	016-02-19 20:03:07 (GMT)	13104949395 From; mary cummins
,	MARY CUMMINS Defendant		Filed in Forma Paugeris (CRC 3.50, et seq.) per order FEB 1 9 RECT To GC (S0537 V) To GC (S0537 V)
	645 W. 9th St. #110-140 Los Angeles, CA 90015 In Pro Per Telephone: (310) 877-4770 Email: mmmaryinla@aol.com	<u>1</u>	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles FEB 292016
	SUPEJ	RIOR COURT OF CALI	FORNIAherri R. Carter, Executive Officer Clerk
	C	OUNTY OF LOS ANGE	ELES Judi Lara Deputy
	BAT WORLD SANCTUARY AMANDA LOLLAR	Case No. B	S140207
÷.	Plaintiff v.	MOTION T SUBPOENA CCP 1987.1	TO QUASH, MODIFY A, PROTECTIVE ORDER I
	MARY CUMMINS) Date: April	15, 2016

CRS RECEIPT



RESERVATION INFORMATION

Reservation ID:

160216104823

Transaction Date:

February 16, 2016 9:31 AM

Case Number:

Case Title: Party:

Time:

BST40207
BAT WORLD SANCTUARY ET AL VS MARY CUMMINS CUMMINS MARY (Defendant/Respondent)

Courthouse: Department:

Stanley Mosk Courthouse

Motion to Quash

4/15/2016

Reservation Type: Date:

08:30 am

FEE INFORMATION (Fees are non-refundable)

Party asserts first paper was previously paid. First Paper Fee:

Description	Fee
Motion to Quash	\$60.00
Total Fees:	\$60.00

PAYMENT INFORMATION

https://www.lacourt.org/mrs/ui/printablereceipt.aspx?id=undefined

2/16/2016

Reservation Printout-BS140207-160216104823

Special Condition:

FEE WAIVED - Gov. Code, § 68630 et seq.

The reserving party asserts possession of a valid fee waiver, approved by the court on 02/16/2016. (Validity must be confirmed at the time of filing the motion/document. Proof of granted fee waiver may be requested by the Clerk.)

Waived fees are recoverable (plus an administrative fee and any fees associated with the recovery of previously waived fees).

A COPY OF THIS RECEIPT MUST BE ATTACHED TO THE CORRESPONDING MOTION/DOCUMENT AS THE LAST PAGE AND THE RESERVATION ID INDICATED ON THE MOTION/DOCUMENT FACE PAGE.

https://www.lacourt.org/mrs/ui/printablerecei.pt.aspx?id=undefined